

## Peer Review Scoring Rubric

Score	Description	Status
4.5 – 4.9	Outstanding	May be funde
	<ul> <li>Outstanding project with potentially significant impact</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>All aspects of the project align with the specific goals of the funding opportunity</li> </ul>	
	Fulfils or exceeds all assessment criteria	
	Extremely likely that all objectives will be met	
	No weaknesses	
4.0 – 4.4	Excellent	May be funde
	Excellent project with potentially significant impact	
	<ul> <li>All aspects of the project align with specific goals of the funding opportunity</li> </ul>	
	Fulfils all assessment criteria	
	Very likely that all objectives will be met	
	At least one minor weakness that can be addressed during the term of the grant	
3.5 – 3.9	Very Good	May be funde
	Very good project with potentially important impact	
	<ul> <li>Most aspects of the project align with the specific goals of the funding opportunity</li> </ul>	
	Fulfils almost all assessment criteria	
	Likely most objectives will be met	
	<ul> <li>Some minor weakness that can be addressed during the term of the grant</li> </ul>	
	At least one moderate weakness	
3.0 – 3.4	Solid/Significant	May be funde
	Good project with potentially important impact	with condition
	<ul> <li>Most aspects of the project align with the specific goals of the funding opportunity</li> </ul>	
	Fulfils almost all assessment criteria	
	Somewhat likely that some objectives will be met	
	Some moderate weaknesses	
2.5 – 2.9	Needs Revision	Not fundable
	Good project idea with potential impact, but in need of revision	
	Some aspects of the project align with the specific goals of the funding opportunity	
	Fulfils most evaluation criteria	
	Unlikely some of the objectives will be met	
	Numerous moderate weaknesses	
2.0 – 2.4	Needs Major Revision	Not fundable
	Project idea and impact in need of major revision	
	Few aspects of the project align with specific goals of the funding opportunity	
	Fulfils few evaluation criteria	
	Unlikely the objectives will be met	
	<ul> <li>Numerous moderate weaknesses and at least one major weakness</li> </ul>	
1.0 – 1.9	Seriously Flawed	Not fundable
	Project idea is flawed and impact is limited	
	No aspects of the project align with the specific goals of the funding opportunity	
	Fulfils very few or none of the evaluation criteria	
	Objectives cannot be met	
	Numerous major weaknesses	
0	Not Acceptable	Not fundable